

Route Risk Assessment

Marine Engineering Test Route Marine Garage

Provide details of any identified problems/issues and any control measures/special arrangements undertaken including vehicle type restrictions etc on separate sheet if required			Summarise details & locations	Likely impact of non-competent performance	Summary Controls	Location specific awareness information training provided	Route learning will be provided to all drivers	Drivers encouraged to report incidents/problems	Control/OTD/Drivers engaged in monitoring/improvement	(Task performed competently)			Other - please detail	Resultant route risk level		
Do Any of the Statements listed below have any relevance to the route under review? <i>Tick as appropriate</i>	Yes	No								Severity	Likelihood	Risk rating		Intolerable	Undesirable	Tolerable
Driver has sufficient visibility at any road junctions and/or roundabouts?	✓		Driver has sufficient visibility at all junctions and roundabouts	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users and pedestrians.	Route learning, driver training, traffic circulars, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, depot awareness poster.	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	2	3				3
The route is free from overhanging branches or (or potential) on the route?	✓		No issues with overhanging branches on route	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users	Route learning, driver training, Driver reporting procedure for on route hazards, traffic circulars developed and information posted in garages, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness.	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2				2
Bus lanes bus / bus stops appropriately defined & marked - Free from indiscriminate parking?	✓		Bus stops Not Applicable - Commercial Street, Great Junction Street, Duke Street, Craigtinny Ave and Portobello Road vehicles parked roadside, observe nearside clearance when passing	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users	Route learning, driver training, traffic circulars, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	2	3				3
Road restrictions or traffic speed/control measures visible and can be traversed safely (e.g. road humps)?	✓		All measures clearly visible throughout the route.	Driver speeding, vehicle damage, uncomfortable journey for passengers	Qualified PCV drivers, risk aware, customer engagement awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2				2
Timings reflect any congestion issues		✓	Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route	Drivers not operating to schedules, Drivers not trained, accidents,	Published service timetable, drivers have time board and AVL system within cab to inform when operating early/late, Qualified drivers, risk aware	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2				2

Provide details of any identified problems/ issues and any control measures/special arrangements undertaken including vehicle type restrictions etc on separate sheet if required			Summarise details & locations	Likely impact of non-competent performance	Summary Controls	Location specific awareness information/training provided to all drivers	Route learning will be provided to all drivers	Drivers encouraged to report incidents/problems	Control/COV/Drivers engaged in monitoring/improvement	(Task performed competently)			Other - please detail	Resultant route risk level		
Do Any of the Statements listed below have any relevance to the route under review? <i>Tick as appropriate</i>	Yes	No								Severity	Likelihood	Risk rating		Intolerable	Undesirable	Tolerable
Route (incl off service routes & normal diversions) suitable for all bus types?		✓	Suitable for all vehicle types including Tri-Axle	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users, Double Deck bus possible contact with Balgreen (Low Bridge 14ft), Roseburn Street Low Bridge (12' 9"), New Street Low Bridge (10' 9"), Calton Road Low Bridge (10' 9"), Brand Place Low Bridge (13' 6"), Spring Gardens Low Bridge (13' 9")	Route risk assessment, Low bridge awareness campaign, Driver route training also includes off service routes, Management awareness posters.	YES	YES	YES	YES	3	1	4	Route information held within control room and schedules, Control room have 'Live' visibility of all vehicles being used on all routes to minimise risk of vehicles colliding with a Low Bridge - any/all incidents or near misses to be fully investigated and reported to Head of Operations/Head of Risk	4		
No height restrictions i.e. low bridges) etc on or close to the route?	✓		Potential impact if wrong route taken Balgreen (Low Bridge 14ft), Roseburn Street Low Bridge (12' 9"), New Street Low Bridge (10' 9"), Calton Road Low Bridge (10' 9"), Brand Place Low Bridge (13' 6"), Spring Gardens Low Bridge (13' 9")	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users, Double Deck bus possible contact with Balgreen (Low Bridge 14ft), Roseburn Street Low Bridge (12' 9"), New Street Low Bridge (10' 9"), Calton Road Low Bridge (10' 9"), Brand Place Low Bridge (13' 6"), Spring Gardens Low Bridge (13' 9")	Route risk assessment, Low bridge awareness campaign, CPC course 2021, Driver route training also includes off service routes	YES	YES	YES	YES	3	1	4	Route information held within control room and schedules, Control room have 'Live' visibility of all vehicles being used on all routes to minimise risk of vehicles colliding with a Low Bridge - any/all incidents or near misses to be fully investigated and reported to Head of Operations/Head of Risk	4		
Are bus stop suitably positioned away from road junctions and other road/traffic hazards?	✓		Bus Stop Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route - Known fixed hazards - Bollards - Great Junction Street - Kings Road tight left turn at traffic lights close to kerb with Railings offside.	Drivers not adhering to designated bus stops	Drivers trained in stopping patterns during route learning	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	2	3		3		
Bus stops, BSI and other fixed infrastructure far enough from kerb edges to allow safe entry / exit at bus stops?	✓		Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2		2		
Kerbs heights along route do not cause access problems for passengers? / vehicles or restrict vehicles that may be used	✓		Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route	Drivers make contact with Kassel kerb when entering bus stop causing vehicle damage	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2		2		
All roads used a public highways? (written permission and site specific risk assessment is required for any private roads accessed)	✓		All roads public highways	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2		2		
There is no requirement for reversing of vehicles on the route?	✓		Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route no reversing required	Wrong route taken, driver reverses bus without banksman, damaged bus	Drivers must contact control when wrong route taken, Drivers should not be reversing buses on street	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2		2		
Excessively high numbers of school children use this route?	✓		Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route not in service	Wrong route taken, failure to pick up customers, company reputation, customer complaints, loss of revenue.	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness, road markings, signage, speed restrictions (20 mph)	YES	YES	YES	YES	2	1	3		3		
Any expected higher than normal volumes of pedestrians at particular times or days?		✓	Engineering test route outwit city centre, no higher volume of pedestrians	Wrong route taken, failure to pick up customers, increase in pedestrian accidents	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	2	1	3		3		
Evidence of assaults / vandalism occurring on services in areas served	✓		None known	Driver confronts youths, escalates the problem, delays service, customer complaints, driver gets hurt, vehicle damage	Driver training, control room procedures, record and report to Police liaison officer	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2	Engineering Test route, primarily driven during daylight hours. Off service so able to leave scene if required	2		

Provide details of any identified problems/ issues and any control measures/special arrangements undertaken including vehicle type restrictions etc on separate sheet if required			Summarise details & locations	Likely impact of non-competent performance	Summary Controls	Location specific awareness information/training provided to all drivers	Route learning will be provided to all drivers	Drivers encouraged to report incidents/problems	Control/COJ/Drivers engaged in monitoring improvement	(Task performed competently)			Other - please detail	Resultant route risk level		
Do Any of the Statements listed below have any relevance to the route under review? Tick as appropriate	Yes	No								Severity	Likelihood	Risk rating		Intolerable	Undesirable	Tolerable
Layover and WC facilities suitable and sufficient	✓		Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route	Driver unable to access toilet facilities	Driver issued a key to access toilets on route, agreement in place with council buildings for drivers to use facilities	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2				2
No evidence to indicate high numbers of passengers with special needs / vulnerability and/or disabilities use this route?		✓	Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route bus not in service	Harsh braking events, rise in customer complaints, injuries and compensation claims	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness, CPC continuous training	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2				2
Bus lanes suitably marked, signed and wide enough for vehicles to use?	✓		All bus lanes suitably marked and wide enough for buses	Drivers make contact with kerb stationary or other road users	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness, Report to Control.	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2				2
Route reviewed in dark and street and/or vehicle lighting levels considered suitable		✓	Route reviewed during daylight hours	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2	Discuss with Insurance and Garage management if there is an increased accident rate for services driving during darkness hours? If Yes assess route in darkness			2
All drivers have received both route and vehicle familiarisation training for this route and been advised of special arrangements for hazards identified?	✓		Full route & vehicle training provided to engineers, Map available for reference.	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2				2
Manual handling training given (ramp operation) Tick as appropriate	✓		Not Applicable - Engineering Test Route. Engineers are fully trained as part of their duties	Unable to serve disabled customers needs	Route learning, driver training, route driven by qualified PCV drivers, risk awareness	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	1	2				2
Potential impact of adverse weather and access to gritting plans appropriately considered with outcomes communicated		✓	Potential for route to be subject to ice / snow. All drivers trained & experienced in area with radios. Existing Control Room response procedures will apply. Gritting plans held within Control room	Driver operates vehicle in unsafe conditions	Services operate on main roads that are gritted any roads that are not cleared or driveable services will be curtailed or stopped	YES	YES	YES	YES	2	1	3	Gritting plans required from local authority annually			3
Is the route approved for rear door operation and Tri-axle vehicles and suitable? Tick as appropriate		✓	Route Not Yet assessed for suitability for use of ADL XLB - Whilst no immediate or obvious hazards identified and suitable for Tri Axle Coaches, ADL XLB will require assessment prior to approval of route for these vehicles	Wrong route driven, contact with stationary objects, contact with other road users, Double Deck bus possible contact with Balgreen (Low Bridge 14ft), Roseburn Street Low Bridge (12' 9"), New Street Low Bridge (10' 9"), Calton Road Low Bridge (10' 9"), Brand Place Low Bridge (13' 6"), Spring Gardens Low Bridge (13' 9")	Route risk assessment, Low bridge awareness campaign, CPC course 2021. Driver route training also includes off service routes - Separate bus stop risk assessment has been completed to identify unsuitable bus stops	YES	YES	YES	YES	2	2	4	ADL XLB VEHICLES SHOULD NOT BE ALLOCATED TO THIS ROUTE UNTIL FULL ASSESSMENT OF ROUTE IS MADE TO ASSESS THAT VEHICLE CAN NEGOTIATE ROUTE SAFELY			4
All other route specific risks identified and controlled?	✓		Potential for breakdown is higher than normal services.	Staff put at risk	H&S dept. company risk assessments, route risk assessments	YES	YES	YES	YES	1	2	3	Experienced engineering staff fully familiar with breakdown and recovery procedures, Role / Activity based risk assessments operate.			3
Assessors: Pat Toner										Date: 8th May 2023						
Approved by: Walter Herring (Head of Operational Risk)										Date: 12th September 2023						

Note - For risk levels that are Undesirable or Intolerable additional control measures or action should be taken/considered to reduce the risk to Tolerable so far as reasonably practicable